Augsburg College Pillsbury United Communities University of MN School of Social Work

> MODEL FOR THE 21ST CENTURY SETTLEMENT ORGANIZATION

Cedar Riverside Neighborhood: Minneapolis, Minnesota





Roots go back to the Bethel Settlement in late 1800's, first settlement in Minneapolis.
Pillsbury House in Cedar Riverside in 1930's.
Unity Settlement.
Currie Center.
Brian Coyle Center in 1993 to present.

University of Minnesota

School of Social Work

- One of the oldest public Schools of Social Work in the US
- History of engaged research/social development





Question -

How does your <Center/ Organization> fit within the settlement movement?

Social Work Perspective: Settlement House Movement in U.S.

- Residency, Research and Reform.
- Private non-governmental organization.
- Volunteers from privileged classes, bridging relationships.
- Strengths-based, community building.
- Collaboration based on residents' interests.
- Cultural differences valued.
- Poverty as a societal problem.
- Political advocacy for systems changes.

© Original Artist Reproduction rights obtainable from www.CartoonStock.com



(D)

arcr

"In a five to four decision today, the Supreme Court picked social justice over regular justice." 21st Century Settlement: Alignment with Institutional Directions

> Augsburg College – Sabo Center for Citizenship and Learning

U of M School of Social Work – Institute on Community-Based Participatory Research

 Pillsbury United Communities – New Settlement Way Operating Model 21st Century Settlement
Organization: New Model
It's critical to involve community members together with academic institutions and nonprofit organizations as participants with shared power and say. Why?

- To advance social work as a values-based profession that changes conditions and challenges injustice.
- Greater impact through research/policy.
- Sustainability.
- Capitalize on the shared goals of applied researchers & community workers

Ladder of Civic Participation



http://lithgow-schmidt.dk/sherry-arnstein/ladder-of-citizen-participation.html

Core Innovations

 Advancements in Cross-Sector Learning

Strengthen the community competence/professional competence continuum. Community in the classroom & classroom in the community. Redesign and recreate the space based on community interests and vision. Include learning institute, classrooms, computer lab, art gallery, and library. Community Designed Infrastructure Development

 Community-Based Participatory Research Foundation for engaging the community *with* professionals to solve problems and develop evidence-based practices that improve community well being.

Deepen engagement between residents, students, faculty and staff so that social capital and power are leveraged to take action & impact community wellbeing.

Community Leadership Engagement and Action.

21st Century Settlement Model: Progress Made

- <u>LEARNING</u>: 6 Interns placed at Coyle from Augsburg and the U of M. Plan for 2011 field seminar to be held at the Coyle Center with 12 interns. Course "Working with New Immigrant Populations" to be taught at Coyle, Spring 2011.
- ENGAGEMENT: More than 100 student volunteers and 16 work study positions provided through Augsburg and U of M at the Coyle Center. Concentration of America Readstrained students from Augsburg and the U of M with Coyle Center Kids College. Augsburg MBA student team developed a business plan for a youth operated coffee shop. Partnership begun with U of M School of Social Work and PUC for 18-month engaged department project.

21st Century Settlement Model: Progress Made

- RESEARCH: First CBPR project started for asset mapping with East African community members to focus on strengths and develop culturally supported and community led interventions for the reduction of health disparities.
- INFRASTRUCTURE: 150 community members engaged in process to redesign and renovate the Coyle Center space.

Inclusion, Innovation, Impact

 Inclusion – Participatory, Reciprocal Accountability, "With" not "For"

 Innovation – Idea Incubator, Access Point concept.

 Impact – Individual empowerment, community change, systems accountability.

Questions -

From what you've heard, combined with what you have experienced in your own community, what do you see as the challenges to this model?

What do you see as the strengths?

Current Challenges

- Using existing resources rather than leveraging new. Only can do what existing resources allow.
- Bottom Up and Top Down. Getting everyone on the same page, so that the community is being "serviced" rather than seated as an equal partner at the table.
- How to institutionalize the model to achieve all that is hoped.

Moving Forward

- Full integration of innovations in infrastructure, academic advancements, CBPR, and Community Engagement through a 3-5 year plan.
- The partnership expands by adding community representation at the table.
- Budget requirements: \$300,000/year for coordination, research component, faculty time, education scholarships, etc.
- The 21st Century Settlement House is created, implemented, evaluated and documented as a model.



Where do we go from here?

Is the vision compelling?

 Recommendations to strengthen the model and broaden applicability to other communities? © Original Artist Reproduction rights obtainable from www.CartoonStock.com

It's the previous 382 attempts that help you become a natural.

We've got it!

ŝ

Ktan2